

Christianity is a metaphysical religion. Calvinism is a juridical religion. Athanasius' "For the Son of God became man so that we might become God" (De inc. 54, 3) is the antichrist gospel of the Christian Church. This famous dictum of Athanasius came to be known as the soteriological doctrine of Theosis. This is the official gospel of traditional Christianity. This alleged gospel is a metaphysical scheme that claims that the Logos metaphysically entered the Platonic sphere of humanity and rose it up the chain of being (another Pagan metaphysical concept) into immortality by his incarnation. This is the official doctrine salvation in the Christian Church called Christus Victor. (See *Christus Victor* by Gustaf Aulen) Theosis and Christus Victor, are again, the official doctrines of salvation in the Christian Church and its Ecumenical Councils and run 180 degrees of contradiction to Calvinism's Penal Substitution and Forensic Justification. Cyril of Alexandria, the man whose hands wrote the canons of the council of Ephesus, whose writings on the hypostatic union were canonized in the Third Ecumenical council, the most authoritative theologian in church history on the doctrine of the hypostatic union, states against Nestorianism in *That Christ Is One by way of dispute with Hermias*:

"My God my God why forsookest Thou me?"

For consider that the Only-Begotten having been made man, gave forth such words as one of us and in behalf of our whole nature, as though He said, The first man hath transgressed, he slipped down into disobedience, he heeded not the command given him, by the wiles of the dragon he was carried off into wilfulness: therefore fall rightly has he been subjected unto decay and has become subject to doom, but Thou didst plant Me a second beginning to them on the earth, I am called, Second Adam...for He was inviting the good favour of the Father not on Himself but on us rather..."

John of Damascus, who wrote the definitive work on the theology of the early fathers, *An Exact Exposition of the Orthodox Faith*, states in Book 3.24,

"Further, these words, My God, My God, why have You forsaken Me Matthew 27:46? He said as making our personality His own. For neither would

God be regarded with us as His Father, unless one were to discriminate with subtle imaginings of the mind between that which is seen and that which is thought, nor was He ever forsaken by His divinity: nay, it was we who were forsaken and disregarded. So that it was as appropriating our personality that He offered these prayers."

Here they both directly deny Penal Substitution that the hypostatic union might be retained. Cyril and John of Damascus both believed that because the Father and the Son are the same substance separation between them is impossible, and thus, Penal Substitution is impossible. (Matt. 27:46) Moreover, the Covenant of Redemption in Calvinist Theology read in the *Sum of Saving Knowledge* is only between two persons, not three. The Covenant of Redemption and the entire system of Calvinist Soteriology requires a Semi-Arian Theology Proper not a Trinitarian scheme. Moreover, John Calvin, John Owen and the Westminster assembly rejected the traditional Christian doctrine of the Atonement called The Harrowing of Hades (Calvin, *Inst.*, Book 2, C. 16, 9-10, Owen commentary on Hebrews 9:8, Westminster Confession VIII, 4). Irenaeus, grounded in the Non-Canonical Pagan book of Enoch, developed this doctrine in his *Against Heresies*, Book IV, Chapter 27. How can you reject such a seminal Church Father, on such a fundamental issue as the Atonement, and still call yourself Christian? This is to say nothing of the fact that Calvinists reject Limbus Patrum and its corollary, Purgatory, justified on the same erroneous grounds from the book of Enoch. Christianity is the antichrist religion that the New Testament warned us about which was to arise after the death of the apostles (Acts 20:29, 1 Tim. 4, Col. 2, 2 Thess. 2) The historic Protestant view of Eschatology is called Historicism that identified the Papacy as the antichrist and the Traditional Christian Religion as Mystery Babylon. This is why, the preaching of the actual gospel, Penal Substitutionary Atonement, Forensic Justification and the doctrines of Grace, is what ends the beast and the false prophet in Revelation 14:6-7 and 19:15.

I maintain that Calvinists, who are indisputably the most hated Christian group there is, should listen to their fellow Christians and leave Christianity for

Nazarene Judaism. In a very esoteric conversation between Jerome and Augustine that your Pastors and seminary professors do not want you to read, Jerome accused Augustine of being a Nazarene and threatened him with a heresy indictment. (Letter #75.13, before being threatened by Jerome and recanting in Letter #82) Augustine held a very different conception of the law than traditional Christianity. He believed, exactly as I do, that the law of Moses should be kept by Messianic Jews, but not as a means of justification or meriting eternal life. (Letter #82.I.19) Sadly, Augustine submitted and even our Reformers have followed Jerome over Augustine.

The Protestant elevation of the Hebrew Scriptures was a radical departure from traditional Christianity and the Catholic Church called it a scandalous move towards Judaizing. (Jean-Henri Merle d'Aubigné, *History of the Reformation*, Vol. I, pg. 67 et al.) The Calvinist Reformers subsequently mastered the Hebrew language, the Westminster Confession, Chapter I. 8, making the Hebrew Old Testament the ultimate authority in textual disputes. This was a radical departure from the traditional Church's Latin Vulgate and the Eastern Orthodox Church's Greek Septuagint.

The Calvinist Covenant Theology is a radical break away from traditional Christianity and is in fact Judaizing when taken consistently. The Early Christian Fathers, though contradictory to each other in many ways, were in fact Dispensationalist. (Augustine as cited above, Justin Martyr, *Dialogue with Trypho*, XCII, 1; Chrysostom, *Homily 33*; Thomas Aquinas, *Summa Theologiae*, First Part of the Second Part, Question 103, Article 4) The major problem with this position is that it damns Gentiles for receiving circumcision but allows Jews to practice it freely unabashed! If that isn't two programs of salvation human language means nothing! Jerome defected into complete Gnosticism saying the law is completely abolished for both Jews and Gentiles! There is a reason you can't get a straight answer on this issue from the Church: their system of theology has nothing to do with the Bible but Neoplatonic Metaphysics. Augustine actually attempted to correct this fatal Gnostic error until he was threatened by Jerome. He completely rejected the general Christian approach

to the law, which says that the Law and the Feasts were done only temporally during a transitional period, and said that Paul and the Apostles kept the Mosaic Law and the Levitical feasts and Jewish believers, Gentile believers not obligated but not forbidden either, should continue to do so! (Letter #40.4) Augustine also maintained that James' verdict in Acts 15 was against the Rabbinic gloss of the law as a means of justification and eternal life, not that the law in itself was not to be observed by believers in Yeshua. (Letter #82.9) He was rebuked by Jerome for this as recorded in Letter #75.5, of Augustine's Letters. Jerome admits that his view, the universal Christian view, came from the Neoplatonist Origen. (Letter #75.3) Augustine would not consider my platform to be heretical. He would think I am going too far in saying Gentiles are obligated to keep the Law but not as a means of salvation, but he would not call the position heresy. That this unbearable burden in Acts 15 is Rabbinic tradition and not the Law of Moses itself is held by R. J. Rushdoony in his *The Institutes of Biblical Law*, pages 732-735 and Alfred Edersheim in his *The Life and Times of Jesus the Messiah*, Book IV, Chapter 5, pg. 818. (Augustine held the same view until Jerome threatened him, Letters #75, #82) James gave an exposition on fine points of the Law that Gentile converts might not understand. Only fine points are clarified here because the Gentiles would receive regular training in the Torah as he mentions, "For Moses from ancient generations has in every city those who preach him, since he is read in the synagogues every Sabbath." James directs the Gentiles to the Synagogue to learn the Torah, BECAUSE JEWS AND GENTILES ARE IN THE SAME NEW COVENANT PROGRAM OF SALVATION!

This radical difference between the metaphysical nature of Christianity and the juridical nature of Calvinism is perfectly exemplified in the different definitions of sin we see from the Westminster Standards and the Catholic Catechism. Westminster Larger Catechism, "Q. 24. What is sin?

A. Sin is any want of conformity unto, or transgression of, any law of God, given as a rule to the reasonable creature."

This is exactly what 1 John 3:4 and Rom. 7:7 say. Yet, we read in the Catechism of the Catholic Church, "1849 Sin is an offense against reason, truth, and right conscience; it is failure in genuine love for God and neighbor caused by a perverse attachment to certain goods. It wounds the nature of man and injures human solidarity. It has been defined as 'an utterance, a deed, or a desire contrary to the eternal law.'"

The Calvinist definition comes from a statute given by the Sovereign Deity. The Christian definition is coming from eternal Platonic forms. The Catholic Church is here affirming the Platonic notion of Natural Law. We see the scriptures completely contradict this. Incest was a natural practice from the beginning as it was necessary all the way up to the time of Abraham who married his own sister. God simply gave a statute to Moses to forbid the practice. There is no eternal, rational or Platonic basis for blood atonement. Blood atonement was simply a statute, a positive law given by the sovereign creator. The law system in the Bible was given by God as a remedy for sinful men. (Gal. 3:19) As conditions changed and degenerated in the human experience God instituted positive statutes such as Divorce (Deut. 24, Matt. 19) chattel slavery (Lev. 25) anti-usury laws (Deut. 23) etc. Thus, we see the Traditional Christian view of the law inevitably leads one to Full-Preterism and its inherent abomination of Perfectionism. The religion created by the Christian Church is simply Platonism for the Masses.

I provide hundreds of more proofs for this claim in my 28 books that you can access at my website listed in the front of this tract. I love the Calvinist Reformation of my ancestors and wish to see it come to its perfection. We need a new Reformation; especially in these days when the Catholic Church is busy performing its usual Anti-Semite Political Psyop that they were successful with in France and Germany with the Dreyfuss Affair and the Nazi regime. You will be blamed for the problems in this country if they succeed! Our country is in ruins, and it is time the real Protestants stand up and take the lead. But we must get our house in order first.

# Calvinism is not Christianity

## And That is a Good Thing

*Isaiah 53:5 the chastisement of our peace was upon him*

*1 Peter 2:24 Who his own self bare our sins in his own body on the tree*

"I confess it is no shame for an Augustine to write a book of retractions. It is the duty, not only of particular Christians, but of reforming, yea, the best reformed churches, whensoever any error in their doctrine, or any evil in their government or form of worship, shall be demonstrated to them from the word of God (although it were by one single person, and one, perhaps, of no great reputation for parts or learning, like Paphnutius among the many learned bishops in the Council of Nicea), to take in, and not to shut out further light; to embrace the will of Christ held forth unto them, and to amend what is amiss, being discovered unto them." - George Gillespie, *Miscellany Questions*, C. 10

southernprotestant.com